



## A Review of Coping Styles among Students to Cope with the Stress

**Dr Indu Tripathi**

Assistant Professor, FOE, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad

Email - Indutripathi1810@gmail.com

**Abstract:** *Students today face a multitude of stressors, ranging from academic pressure and peer relationships to financial burdens and career uncertainty. The ability to manage stress effectively is critical for academic performance, emotional well-being, and overall development. This review explores the various coping styles—or coping strategies—employed by students to navigate stress. The article synthesizes findings from diverse psychological and educational studies to classify coping strategies into broad categories such as problem-focused coping, emotion-focused coping, avoidance coping, and social coping. The review also examines demographic and contextual influences, such as gender, personality traits, cultural background, and institutional support systems, on students' choice of coping styles. The paper concludes by highlighting the implications for educators, counselors, and policymakers in developing effective student support mechanisms.*

**Key Words:** *Coping Style, Reviews, Studies, Research gaps, Academic contexts.*

### 1. Introduction :

Stress among students is a well-documented and growing concern across educational levels, particularly in higher education. The transition from adolescence to adulthood, academic demands, and future uncertainties contribute to a high prevalence of stress (Mehrotra, R., & Tripathi, I. (2021). How students deal with these challenges greatly influences their mental health, academic engagement, and long-term well-being. This review aims to critically analyse the coping styles adopted by students and how these mechanisms affect their ability to manage stress (Tripathi, I., & Mehrotra, R. (2022).

### 2. Understanding Stress and Coping

Lazarus and Folkman's (1984) transactional model of stress and coping remains the foundational framework in this field. According to their model, stress is a product of the interaction between an individual and their environment, mediated by their perception and response mechanisms—known as coping strategies.

Coping styles are generally categorized as:

- **Problem-focused coping:** Actively addressing the cause of stress (e.g., time management, seeking academic help).
- **Emotion-focused coping:** Managing emotional responses to stress (e.g., meditation, journaling).
- **Avoidance coping:** Ignoring or withdrawing from the stressor (e.g., procrastination, substance use).
- **Social coping:** Seeking support from peers, family, or mentors.

### 3. Common Coping styles Among Students

Students employ a range of coping mechanisms to manage stress, shaped by personal disposition, environmental context, and situational demands. The four primary styles observed in academic literature are problem-focused coping, emotion-focused coping, avoidance coping, and social coping. Each of these strategies has distinct characteristics, benefits, and limitations in the context of student stress management.



### 3.1 Problem-Focused Coping

Problem-focused coping involves a proactive approach to identifying and directly addressing the root causes of stress. Students adopting this style typically engage in practical strategies such as:

- **Prioritizing and organizing academic tasks**
- **Setting realistic goals and timelines**
- **Seeking academic support** from teachers, tutors, or study groups
- **Improving study habits** through techniques like the Pomodoro method or active recall

This style is most effective when the stressor is within the student's control, such as time constraints, academic workload, or exam preparation. Problem-focused coping has been associated with higher academic performance, better self-regulation, and lower levels of psychological distress. Students who consistently use this style tend to demonstrate resilience, effective decision-making and adaptive time management skills.

### 3.2 Emotion-Focused Coping

Emotion-focused coping refers to efforts aimed at managing the emotional impact of stress rather than changing the stressor itself. This approach is particularly useful in situations where the student has limited control over the outcome—such as dealing with a difficult professor, family issues, or personal loss (Tripathi, I., & Mehrotra, R. (2021). Common emotion-focused techniques include:

- **Practicing mindfulness and meditation**
- **Talking to someone about their feelings**
- **Engaging in creative outlets** such as music, art, or writing
- **Exercising or participating in leisure activities**

While this strategy may not resolve the external cause of stress, it plays a crucial role in enhancing emotional resilience and preventing emotional overload. Emotion-focused coping can help students stay calm, maintain perspective, and avoid burnout. However, over-reliance on this style without addressing the actual problem can sometimes delay necessary action.

### 3.3 Avoidance Coping

Avoidance coping involves consciously or unconsciously evading the stressor, which might provide short-term relief but often leads to long-term negative consequences. Students may engage in:

- **Procrastination or ignoring responsibilities**
- **Escapist behaviours** such as excessive screen time, gaming, or binge-watching
- **Substance use** or unhealthy eating habits
- **Denial or suppression of emotions**

Although avoidance strategies can reduce immediate anxiety, they typically exacerbate stress in the long run by allowing problems to compound. Research has shown a strong correlation between avoidance coping and negative outcomes such as academic failure, increased anxiety, depression, and even substance dependency. Students who frequently rely on avoidance are less likely to seek help and often experience feelings of helplessness and low self-esteem.

### 3.4 Social Coping

Social coping involves seeking comfort, advice, or practical support from others. This may include:

- **Talking to friends or family members about stress**
- **Joining peer support groups or student communities**



- **Engaging in collaborative study sessions**
- **Seeking mentorship or counselling support**

Social interactions can act as a buffer against stress by providing reassurance, perspective, and a sense of belonging. This strategy is especially effective in **collectivist cultures**, where interdependence and group cohesion are emphasized. Social coping can also enhance emotional expression and reduce feelings of isolation. However, it is most beneficial when used in combination with active coping strategies (such as problem-solving), rather than as a sole means of stress management.

Each of these coping styles offers unique strengths and potential drawbacks. An effective approach to stress management among students often involves a **flexible combination** of these strategies, tailored to the nature of the stressor and the individual's personality. Encouraging awareness and intentional use of positive coping mechanisms can significantly enhance students' academic performance and mental well-being.

#### 4. Factors Influencing Coping Styles

##### 4.1 Gender Differences

Research consistently shows gender-based differences in coping. Female students are more likely to employ emotion-focused and social coping, while males tend to use avoidance strategies more often.

##### 4.2 Personality Traits

Traits such as conscientiousness, neuroticism, and extraversion influence coping styles. Conscientious students may lean toward problem-focused coping, while highly neurotic individuals might resort to avoidance or emotional coping.

##### 4.3 Cultural Context

Coping strategies are also shaped by cultural norms. Collectivist societies often encourage social coping, while individualistic cultures may promote personal responsibility and problem-solving.

##### 4.4 Institutional Support

Access to mental health services, academic counselling, and peer mentoring can significantly influence students' coping efficacy. Institutions with robust support systems often report lower stress levels among their student population.

#### 5. Implications and Recommendations

Understanding student coping styles has practical implications for educational policy and student support programs:

- Institutions should integrate stress management workshops into their curricula.
- Teachers and counselors must be trained to identify maladaptive coping behaviours early.
- Peer support networks and mental health resources should be made accessible and stigma-free.
- Tailored interventions based on individual coping styles and personality traits can be more effective.

#### 6. Conclusion

Coping with stress is an essential life skill for students. While some coping strategies enhance resilience and academic success, others can exacerbate stress and hinder development. A nuanced understanding of these coping styles, influenced by personality, gender, culture, and institutional support, is essential. Continued research and informed



practice can help educational institutions create environments that foster healthy coping mechanisms, ultimately leading to more holistic student development.

## REFERENCES:

1. Lazarus, R. S., & Folkman, S. (1984). *Stress, Appraisal, and Coping*. Springer.
2. Misra, R., & Castillo, L. G. (2004). Academic stress among college students: Comparison of American and international students. *International Journal of Stress Management*, 11(2), 132–148.
3. Carver, C. S., Scheier, M. F., & Weintraub, J. K. (1989). Assessing coping strategies: A theoretically based approach. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 56(2), 267–283.
4. Folkman, S., & Moskowitz, J. T. (2004). Coping: Pitfalls and promise. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 55, 745–774. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.55.090902.141456>
5. Compas, B. E., Connor-Smith, J. K., Saltzman, H., Thomsen, A. H., & Wadsworth, M. E. (2001). Coping with stress during childhood and adolescence: Problems, progress, and potential in theory and research. *Psychological Bulletin*, 127(1), 87–127. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.127.1.87>
6. Heffer, T., & Willoughby, T. (2017). A count of coping strategies: A longitudinal study investigating an alternative method to understanding coping and adjustment. *PLOS ONE*, 12(10), e0186057. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0186057>
7. Gadzella, B. M. (1991). Student-life stress inventory: Identification of and reactions to stressors. *Psychological Reports*, 68(3), 979–985. <https://doi.org/10.2466/pr0.1991.68.3.979>
8. Mehrotra, R., & Tripathi, I. (2021). Stress: A review. *International Journal for Innovative Research in Multidisciplinary Field*, 7(10), pp16-20. DOIs:10.2015/IJIRMF.2455.0620/202110004
9. Park, C. L., & Adler, N. E. (2003). Coping style as a predictor of health and well-being across the first year of medical school. *Health Psychology*, 22(6), 627–631. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0278-6133.22.6.627>
10. Tripathi, Indu. (2021). Contemporary Teaching Methods in Higher Education. Xi'an Dianzi Keji Daxue Xuebao/Journal of Xidian University. 15. 390-94. 10.37896/jxu15.1/040.
11. Tripathi, I., & Mehrotra, R. (2021). *Emotional intelligence: A review. International Journal of Research and Analytical Reviews (IJRAR)*, 8(3), 700–707. <http://www.ijrar.org/IJAR21C2196.pdf> <http://doi.org/10.1729/Journal.28248>
12. Tripathi, I., & Mehrotra, R. (2022). A STUDY ON LEVEL OF STRESS AMONG UNDERGRADUATE APPLIED SCIENCE STUDENTS IN PRIVATE UNIVERSITY OF MORADABAD. *Towards Excellence*, 14(2).
13. Tripathi, Indu & Mehrotra, Rashmi. (2022). A Study on Level of Stress among Undergraduate Applied Science Students in Private University Moradabad. *Towards Excellence*. 34-43. 10.37867/TE140204.