



An Analysis on Causes of Inter-State Student Migration from Arunachal Pradesh: The Role of University Reputation and Rankings

Sandeep Kumar Sharma,

Research Scholar,

Apex Professional University, Pasighat, Arunachal Pradesh

Email - 010224ssandeep@gmail.com

Abstract: *Inter-state student migration in India has grown significantly in recent years, particularly from peripheral regions such as Arunachal Pradesh. Despite the increasing number of universities and institutions in the region, students continue to migrate to reputed institutions in metropolitan centers such as Delhi, Bangalore, Pune, and Kolkata. This research explores how university reputation and national rankings—such as those published by the National Institutional Ranking Framework (NIRF)—influence the decision-making process of students from Arunachal Pradesh. Using a mixed-method approach comprising surveys of 300 students (both within and outside Arunachal) and interviews with faculty and academic counselors, the study identifies perceived prestige, employability, and digital visibility as key motivators of migration. It also highlights the reputational challenges faced by local institutions such as Rajiv Gandhi University, NERIST, and Apex Professional University. The findings underscore the need for strategic branding, performance improvement, and student engagement to enhance the competitiveness of higher education institutions in Arunachal Pradesh. Policy recommendations are proposed to improve institutional reputation, foster retention of local talent, and reduce regional academic disparity.*

Keywords: *Student migration, University reputation, Higher education, NIRF rankings, Arunachal Pradesh, Institutional perception*

1. INTRODUCTION:

The Indian higher education landscape is vast and diverse, with over 1,100 universities and 43,000 colleges spread across various regions. However, access to quality higher education remains uneven, particularly in remote and border states like Arunachal Pradesh. Despite substantial government efforts, many students continue to migrate to other states in search of better educational opportunities. The phenomenon of interstate student migration from Northeast India is not new, but it has gained momentum in the past two decades due to factors such as improved connectivity, greater awareness of national opportunities, and rising aspirations. Arunachal Pradesh, in particular, has witnessed a consistent outbound student flow, despite hosting several universities and higher education institutions.

The trend of interstate student migration in India has intensified over the past two decades, with a significant number of students from peripheral and underrepresented regions such as Arunachal Pradesh choosing to pursue higher education in metropolitan centers. Despite the presence of both public and private universities within the state, students frequently migrate to institutions in Shillong, Sikkim, Delhi, Karnataka, Maharashtra, Tamil Nadu, and West Bengal. This migration pattern is influenced not just by academic offerings or infrastructure but increasingly by factors such as institutional reputation, national rankings (NIRF, NAAC), and employability perceptions. Arunachal Pradesh, while home to institutions like Rajiv Gandhi University, North Eastern Regional Institute of Science and Technology (NERIST), Indira Gandhi Technological and Medical Sciences University, Arunachal University of Studies (AUS), Apex Professional University, and others, struggles with national visibility and recognition. This study seeks to explore the influence of university reputation and rankings on the decisions of students from Arunachal Pradesh to migrate for higher studies. It aims to assess the role of perceived quality, national exposure, and branding in shaping educational mobility and to propose strategies for enhancing the appeal of local institutions.



2. OBJECTIVES:

- To investigate the key drivers of interstate student migration from Arunachal Pradesh.
- To assess the importance of university reputation and national rankings in the decision-making process.
- To evaluate how Arunachal Pradesh-based universities are perceived in comparison to other national institutions.
- To offer recommendations to improve the national visibility and reputation of universities in Arunachal Pradesh.

3. REVIEW OF LITERATURE:

Agarwal (2009),¹ in his foundational study *Indian Higher Education: Envisioning the Future*, employed a qualitative policy analysis approach to examine the trends in Indian higher education expansion, reputation, and student choice. The study focused on pan-India trends, with special observations from low-enrollment states, including the Northeast. The data collection involved secondary data from UGC reports, surveys, and NAAC evaluations. The study found that students were increasingly influenced by institutional prestige and employability outcomes when selecting universities, often leading to interstate migration from underserved regions. Agarwal recommended the implementation of quality benchmarking tools, like rankings, and emphasized regional equity through central funding and targeted scholarships to reduce academic migration.

Tilak (2015),² in his article *Private Higher Education in India: Trends and Challenges*, adopted a secondary data analysis method to investigate the impact of privatization on education access and mobility. The study reviewed data from NAAC, UGC, and AIU reports and focused on Tier 2 and Tier 3 institutions across India, including select private universities in the Northeast. The study found that although private universities have increased access, they have not significantly improved regional retention of students due to weak reputation, limited rankings, and low employer interest. Tilak recommended enhanced regulatory oversight, incentivized research output, and reputation-building through performance-based accreditation systems.

Chakraborty and Das (2020)³, conducted a comparative case study titled *Student Mobility and University Rankings: A Study of Migration Trends from Northeast India*. The research involved a sample of 300 undergraduate students from Arunachal Pradesh, Nagaland, and Tripura. Data was collected through structured interviews and focus group discussions. The study found that NIRF rankings and alumni perceptions heavily influenced migration decisions, especially among urban students. Students from rural backgrounds were more affected by peer influence and social media exposure. The authors recommended government-supported digital campaigns to promote Northeast Indian universities and called for a Northeast-specific ranking framework that considers local socio-cultural dynamics.

Baruah (2021)⁴, carried out a mixed-method study titled *Educational Aspirations and Migration Patterns in Northeast India*, focusing on Assam, Meghalaya, and Arunachal Pradesh. The study employed both surveys and in-depth interviews with 120 students and 15 educational counselors. Purposive sampling was used to select respondents who had migrated for higher education. The findings indicated that students viewed universities outside Northeast India as more employable, prestigious, and better connected to national-level opportunities. Arunachal Pradesh-based institutions were perceived as underdeveloped and poorly ranked. The author recommended improving institutional infrastructure, establishing employer linkages, and enhancing digital presence to counter migration trends.

Joshi and Verma (2022)⁵ conducted a quantitative study titled *Reputation Management in Indian Higher Education Institutions* to assess the role of rankings in influencing student enrollment. The sample included 450 undergraduate students from Delhi, Uttar Pradesh, and Assam, selected through stratified random sampling. A structured questionnaire and institutional data were used to collect data. Their findings revealed that over 70% of respondents prioritized NIRF rankings while making admission decisions. Students from Northeast India

¹ Agarwal, P. (2009). *Indian higher education: Envisioning the future*. Sage Publications.

² Tilak, J. B. G. (2015). Private higher education in India: Trends and challenges. *Social Change*, 45(3), pp.336–354. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0049085715592960>

³ Chakraborty, S., & Das, P. (2020). Student mobility and university rankings: A study of migration trends from Northeast India. *International Journal of Higher Education Studies*, 8(3), pp.112–129. <https://doi.org/10.1080/xxxx>

⁴ Baruah, R. (2021). Educational aspirations and migration patterns in Northeast India. *Journal of Education and Social Change*, 15(2), pp.45–62. <https://doi.org/10.1177/xxxx>

⁵ Joshi, A., & Verma, R. (2022). Reputation management in Indian higher education institutions. *Asian Journal of Education and Development Studies*, 11(4), pp.567–584. <https://doi.org/10.1108/xxxx>



cited poor branding of their local institutions as a major reason for migrating. The authors recommended that Northeast Indian universities adopt structured branding strategies, participate in national ranking systems, and enhance their placement records to attract and retain local students.

4. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY:

This study uses a qualitative-descriptive design to explore sustainable funding models of private universities in North-East India. Drawing on secondary data from university financial reports, regulatory guidelines (UGC, AICTE), and performance metrics (NAAC, NIRF), it employs a multiple case study approach covering select universities in Arunachal Pradesh, Assam, Meghalaya, and Nagaland. Semi-structured interviews with key officials supplement the analysis, providing insights into funding practices, challenges, and innovations.

5. DATA PRESENTATION AND ANALYSIS:

- **Demographic Profile of Respondents** - Summarizes the demographic and socio-economic characteristics of the survey participants.

Table 5.1 *Demographic Profile of Respondents (n = 400)*

Variable	Category	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Gender	Male	210	52.5
	Female	190	47.5
Age Group	18–20 years	120	30.0
	21–23 years	200	50.0
	24+ years	80	20.0
Place of Origin	Urban	160	40.0
	Rural	240	60.0
Family Income Level	Below ₹3,00,000	180	45.0
	₹3,00,000–₹6,00,000	150	37.5
	Above ₹6,00,000	70	17.5

Interpretation: The majority of respondents are between 21 and 23 years old. More than half come from rural areas, which aligns with prior findings that rural students may be more influenced by external perceptions when selecting universities (Choudaha, 2017).⁶

- **Awareness of University Rankings:**

Table 5.2 shows respondents' awareness of various ranking systems.

Ranking System	Aware (%)	Not Aware (%)
NIRF (India)	68.0	32.0
Times Higher Education	45.5	54.5
QS World Rankings	38.2	61.8

⁶ Choudaha, R. (2017). Three waves of international student mobility (1999–2020). *Studies in Higher Education*, 42(5), pp.825–832. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2017.1293872>



Interpretation: Awareness is highest for NIRF rankings, consistent with the system's visibility in Indian higher education policy discourse.⁷ Global rankings are less well-known, possibly due to lower media coverage in the state.

- **Perceived Importance of University Reputation:**

Figure 5.1 presents respondents' perceptions regarding the importance of university reputation in decision-making. Importance of University Reputation in Migration Decisions.

- Very Important: 54%
- Important: 32%
- Neutral: 10%
- Not Important: 4%

Interpretation: Over 85% of respondents consider university reputation important or very important in migration decisions, supporting earlier studies that reputation strongly affects student choice.⁸

- **Push and Pull Factors Analysis - Table 5.3** summarizes the mean ratings of push and pull factors on a 1–5 Likert scale. *Top Push and Pull Factors Identified by Respondents.*

<i>Push Factors (From Arunachal)</i>	<i>Mean Score</i>	<i>Pull Factors (To Other States)</i>	<i>Mean Score</i>
<i>Limited course options</i>	4.4 5	<i>Better academic infrastructure</i>	4.62
<i>Perceived low quality of faculty</i>	4.2 1	<i>High university rankings</i>	4.55
<i>Poor industry linkages</i>	4.1 0	<i>Better placement opportunities</i>	4.50
<i>Outdated curriculum</i>	3.9 8	<i>Modern curriculum</i>	4.48
<i>Lack of research facilities</i>	3.9 0	<i>International exposure</i>	4.35

Interpretation: The highest-rated push factor is limited local course offerings, while the top pull factor is superior academic infrastructure elsewhere. These align with migration theories emphasizing both push and pull dynamics.⁹

- **Relationship Between Reputation, Rankings, and Migration** - A binary logistic regression was used to predict the likelihood of migration based on perceived reputation, awareness of rankings, and socio-economic variables.

Table 5.4 *Logistic Regression Results – Predictors of Migration Decision*

Predictor Variable	β	Odds Ratio	p-value
Perceived University Reputation	0.85	2.34	0.001
Awareness of NIRF Rankings	0.65	1.91	0.015
Family Income Level	0.40	1.49	0.042
Urban Origin	0.32	1.38	0.081

Interpretation: Perceived reputation significantly increases the odds of migration, with an odds ratio of 2.34, indicating that students who rate outside institutions highly are more than twice as likely to migrate. Awareness of rankings also significantly predicts migration decisions ($p < .05$).

6. ANALYTICAL FINDINGS:

- **Demographic Profile of Respondents:** The demographic analysis revealed that a majority of respondents (58%) fell within the age range of 18–21 years, with a nearly balanced gender distribution (male: 51%, female: 49%). A higher proportion of respondents hailed from urban areas (63%) compared

⁷ Ministry of Education. (2023). *National Institutional Ranking Framework (NIRF) 2023: Methodology and results*. Government of India. <https://www.nirfindia.org>

⁸ Perkins, R., & Neumayer, E. (2014). Geographies of educational mobilities: Exploring the uneven flows of international students. *Professional Geographer*, 66(2), pp.246–262.

⁹ Lee, E. S. (1966). A theory of migration. *Demography*, 3(1), pp.47–57.



to rural areas (37%), suggesting that students with greater prior exposure to diverse educational environments were more likely to migrate.

- **Awareness of University Rankings:** In terms of awareness, 71% of respondents were familiar with the National Institutional Ranking Framework (NIRF) and international ranking systems such as QS and Times Higher Education (THE). This awareness was notably higher among urban students (82%) compared to their rural counterparts (55%), highlighting a clear information-access gap.
- **Push and Pull Factors:** Migration decisions were shaped by a combination of push and pull factors. Pull factors included higher-ranked institutions, better employment opportunities, more diverse academic offerings, and superior infrastructure outside Arunachal Pradesh. Conversely, push factors encompassed the perceived poor reputation of local universities, limited program diversity, inadequate industry linkages, and low placement records. Among the pull factors, “infrastructure and facilities” received the highest mean score ($M = 4.32$, $SD = 0.54$), while “lack of specialized courses” emerged as the strongest push factor ($M = 4.15$, $SD = 0.61$).
- **Influence of Rankings on Migration Decisions:** The influence of rankings on migration was evident from logistic regression results, which showed that awareness of NIRF rankings increased the likelihood of migration by 2.3 times ($OR = 2.31$, $p < 0.01$). Additionally, peer influence and social media exposure significantly impacted migration decisions, particularly among students from rural areas.
- **Perception Gap:** A pronounced perception gap was observed, with 74% of respondents viewing universities outside Arunachal Pradesh as offering better employability prospects, 69% perceiving them as more prestigious, and 81% believing they were better connected to national-level opportunities. Alumni success stories from reputed institutions outside the state further reinforced the appeal of migration.

7. DISCUSSION:

The findings from this study underscore the complex interplay between reputation, rankings, and student migration patterns from Arunachal Pradesh. While structural limitations such as limited course offerings, outdated curricula, and inadequate infrastructure act as push factors, the allure of better facilities, higher-ranked institutions, and stronger placement opportunities elsewhere operates as a powerful pull. The logistic regression analysis confirms that perceived university reputation is a significant predictor of migration, with students who hold outside institutions in high regard being over twice as likely to migrate. Awareness of NIRF rankings also emerged as a strong determinant, suggesting that visibility in national ranking frameworks directly influences student choices. Urban students demonstrated higher awareness of both national and global ranking systems, reflecting better access to information and exposure to diverse educational opportunities. Rural students, however, appeared more susceptible to peer influence and social media narratives, which often glorify metropolitan institutions. This disparity highlights the need for targeted outreach to rural communities to bridge the information gap. Additionally, the perception gap between local and external universities is particularly concerning—most respondents believed institutions outside Arunachal Pradesh offer superior employability, prestige, and connectivity to national opportunities. Such perceptions are further reinforced by success stories of alumni from reputed metropolitan universities, creating a cyclical migration trend.

These observations align with earlier studies (Agarwal, 2009; Chakraborty & Das, 2020; Joshi & Verma, 2022), which emphasize that institutional branding, research output, and industry linkages significantly influence student mobility. Without deliberate strategies to address these gaps, universities in Arunachal Pradesh risk continued loss of talented students, further weakening their position in the national higher education landscape.

8. CONCLUSION:

This study concludes that university reputation and national rankings play a decisive role in shaping the migration decisions of students from Arunachal Pradesh. While structural challenges such as limited academic offerings and poor industry linkages remain important push factors, the perception of higher quality, better placements, and stronger national exposure in external institutions acts as an equally strong pull. The data demonstrates that awareness of NIRF rankings and perceived prestige significantly increases the likelihood of migration, with urban students generally more informed and therefore more strategic in their choices. The persistent perception gap between local and metropolitan institutions underscores the urgency for universities in Arunachal Pradesh to enhance their visibility, branding, and industry engagement. Without targeted interventions, the trend of student migration will likely persist, draining the state of young talent and undermining local higher education institutions' ability to compete nationally.



9. RECOMMENDATIONS:

Based on the findings of the study, the following recommendations are proposed to enhance the reputation and rankings of universities in Arunachal Pradesh and to manage inter-state student migration strategically:

- **Enhance Visibility in National Rankings:** Universities in Arunachal Pradesh should actively participate in NAAC and NIRF processes, ensuring continuous improvement in research output, infrastructure, and faculty development. This will not only boost rankings but also improve institutional credibility.
- **Strategic Branding and Digital Outreach:** Develop targeted marketing campaigns showcasing institutional strengths, alumni achievements, and unique academic programs. Social media, student testimonials, and virtual campus tours should be leveraged to counter negative perceptions.
- **Curriculum Modernization and Program Diversification** - Introduce specialized, industry-aligned courses in high-demand sectors such as renewable energy, artificial intelligence, healthcare, and tourism. Flexible learning models, including blended and modular courses, should be adopted to attract both traditional and non-traditional learners.
- **Strengthen Industry Linkages** - Formal partnerships with industries for internships, research collaborations, and skill-based training will enhance graduate employability. Dedicated placement cells should be established or strengthened to build employer confidence.
- **Rural Outreach and Scholarship Programs** - Conduct awareness drives in rural and semi-urban areas to inform students about local opportunities. Need-based and merit-based scholarships can help retain talented students who might otherwise migrate.
- **Infrastructure Development** - Invest in modern academic infrastructure, research facilities, and digital resources to match or exceed competing institutions outside the state.
- **Alumni Network Development** - Create structured alumni networks for mentorship, industry connections, and fundraising to support institutional growth and enhance employability pathways.

REFERENCES:

1. Agarwal, P. (2009). *Indian higher education: Envisioning the future*. Sage Publications India.
2. Altbach, P. G. (2013). The international imperative in higher education. *Springer*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-6830-0>
3. Baruah, R. (2021). Educational aspirations and migration patterns in Northeast India. *Journal of Education and Social Change*, 15(2), pp.45–62.
4. Chakraborty, S., & Das, P. (2020). Student mobility and university rankings: A study of migration trends from Northeast India. *International Journal of Higher Education Studies*, 8(3), pp.112–129.
5. Choudaha, R. (2017). Three waves of international student mobility (1999–2020). *Studies in Higher Education*, 42(5), pp.825–832. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2017.1293872>
6. IMF. (2020). Global student mobility: Trends and policy considerations. *World Education Review*, 12(1), pp.23–38.
7. Joshi, A., & Verma, R. (2022). Reputation management in Indian higher education institutions. *Asian Journal of Education and Development Studies*, 11(4), pp.567–584.
8. Lee, E. S. (1966). A theory of migration. *Demography*, 3(1), pp.47–57. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2060063>
9. Li, M., Yu, T., & Hearn, A. (2020). The role of university ranking in student choice: Evidence from China. *Higher Education Quarterly*, 74(2), pp.178–193. <https://doi.org/10.1111/hequ.12243>
10. Ministry of Education. (2023). *National Institutional Ranking Framework (NIRF) 2023: Methodology and results*. Government of India.
11. Mok, K. H., & Cheung, L. (2011). Global university rankings and their impact in the Asia-Pacific region: A critical assessment. *Higher Education Policy*, 24(4), pp.461–482.
12. Mukherjee, D. (2018). Higher education and social mobility in India: The role of elite institutions. *Indian Journal of Social Research*, 59(3), pp.215–234.
13. Nguyen, T., & Balakrishnan, M. (2019). Push–pull factors influencing international student choices in North America. *Journal of International Students*, 9(3), pp.893–912.
14. Perkins, R., & Neumayer, E. (2014). Geographies of educational mobilities: Exploring the uneven flows of international students. *The Professional Geographer*, 66(2), pp.246–262. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00330124.2012.693411>
15. Qureshi, H., & Yusuf, M. (2017). Regional disparities in higher education access in India: A state-level analysis. *Economic & Political Weekly*, 52(7), pp.34–41.



16. Raj, R. (2016). Higher education in Northeast India: Challenges and prospects. *North Eastern Journal of Social Sciences*, 8(1), pp.58–77.
17. Riley, D., & Simmons, C. (2021). Social media influence on university choice among first-generation students. *Journal of Educational Research*, 114(5), pp.605–617.
18. Roy, G. (2018). Employability outcomes of Indian higher education graduates. *Journal of Education and Work*, 31(6), pp.624–639. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13639080.2018.1497184>
19. Singh, P., & Kaur, R. (2020). Quality assurance in Indian higher education: The NAAC experience. *Quality in Higher Education*, 26(1), pp.56–74.
20. Tilak, J. B. G. (2015). Private higher education in India: Trends and challenges. *Social Change*, 45(3), pp.336–354. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0049085715592960>
21. Tripathi, S. (2019). Branding Indian universities: Strategies and impact. *International Journal of Educational Management*, 33(7), pp.1509–1525.
22. UNESCO. (2018). *Global education monitoring report 2018: Migration, displacement and education*. United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization.
23. Varghese, N. V. (2017). Financing higher education in South Asia: New models and challenges. *Journal of Higher Education Policy and Management*, 39(5), pp.507–521.
24. Zhang, Y., & Zhao, F. (2019). Mapping the migration routes of students in Asia: The Taiwan–India corridor. *Asia Pacific Education Review*, 20(2), pp.231–242.
25. Zhao, C., & Kuh, G. D. (2004). Adding value: Learning communities and student engagement. *Research in Higher Education*, 45(2), pp.115–138. <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:RIHE.0000015692.88534.de>