



From Social Mobility to Colonial Rigidity: British Rule and the Institutionalization of Caste

Dr. Sucharita Mishra

Assistant Professor & Head, PG Department of Sociology, Shailabala Women's (Auto) College, Cuttack, Odisha, India

Email: sucharita87@gmail.com

Abstract: *The present research examines how British colonial rule transformed India's diverse and relatively flexible varna-jati social order into a rigid and institutionalized caste system. While social stratification existed in pre-colonial India, the research argues that British administrators redefined caste through legal codification, census operations, educational reforms, and administrative classification. Special attention is given to the influence of James Mill's The History of British India (1817), which portrayed Indian society as inherently hierarchical and significantly shaped colonial perceptions and policies. The research explores how colonial censuses, ethnographic surveys, and legal institutions converted fluid and regionally diverse social identities into fixed hereditary categories. It also examines how the British conflated the concepts of varna and jati, creating a standardized caste hierarchy that overlooked local diversity and social mobility. Drawing on the works of scholars such as Nicholas B. Dirks, Bernard S. Cohn, and Susan Bayly, a qualitative historical methodology based on colonial records and secondary literature is employed to analyze the institutionalization of caste. The findings suggest that although the British did not create caste, colonial rule fundamentally reshaped it into the rigid administrative system whose legacy continues to influence contemporary Indian society.*

Key Words: *British Colonialism; Caste Institutionalization; Varna-Jati; James Mill; Colonial Knowledge Production.*

1. INTRODUCTION:

Understanding the Historical Context of Caste

The caste system has long been regarded as a defining feature of Indian society. For over two centuries, British colonial administrators and historians portrayed caste as a rigid, hereditary, and unchanging institution governing every aspect of Indian life. This interpretation shaped colonial governance and continues to influence academic and public discourse. However, recent historical research argues that although varna and jati existed before British rule, they were often regionally diverse, occupationally flexible, and socially negotiated. Colonial rule transformed these complex social arrangements into rigid administrative categories through censuses, legal codification, educational reforms, and bureaucratic governance.

Varna and Jati in Pre-Colonial India

Before British rule, Indian society consisted of numerous localized communities whose identities were shaped by occupation, kinship, regional customs, political authority, and religious traditions. The concept of varna, described in ancient Sanskrit texts, represented an idealized four-fold social framework rather than a universally practiced hierarchy. Daily social life revolved around jatis, which varied across regions and frequently adapted to changing political and economic conditions. Historical evidence suggests that many communities experienced social mobility through trade, military service, land ownership, and political patronage. Therefore, pre-colonial India cannot be understood as a single, rigid caste hierarchy.

Colonial Interpretations of Indian Society

British colonial rule fundamentally changed how Indian society was interpreted and governed. Influenced by European ideas of classification and progress, colonial thinkers portrayed India as a static civilization dominated by caste. Among the most influential was James Mill, whose *The History of British India* (1817) became a foundational text for East India Company administrators. Despite never visiting India, Mill described Indian society as backward, superstitious, and



governed primarily by caste. His writings, based largely on second-hand accounts, shaped colonial education, administration, and the ideological justification for British rule.

Colonial Institutionalization of Caste

British administrators translated these ideas into policy through census operations, ethnographic surveys, legal codification, and administrative classification. Beginning with the nineteenth-century censuses, communities were assigned fixed hereditary caste identities, replacing many locally negotiated social distinctions. Colonial officials often merged the theoretical concept of varna with the lived realities of thousands of jatis, creating a standardized hierarchy that overlooked regional diversity. These classifications became central to governance, giving caste unprecedented legal and administrative significance.

Colonial Knowledge and the Construction of Modern Caste

Modern scholars such as Nicholas B. Dirks, Bernard S. Cohn, Susan Bayly, and Ronald Inden argue that colonialism did not invent caste but fundamentally reshaped it through knowledge production and bureaucratic administration. Their research demonstrates that colonial documentation, classification, and governance transformed caste into the dominant framework for understanding Indian society.

Purpose of the Present Research

This research examines how British colonial rule contributed to the transformation of India's varna-jati system into a rigid administrative institution. It focuses on the influence of James Mill, colonial knowledge production, census operations, legal codification, and educational policies in institutionalizing caste. By comparing pre-colonial social organization with colonial administrative practices, the research seeks to provide a balanced understanding of how colonial governance reshaped caste while recognizing that forms of social stratification existed before British rule.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW:

Key Studies & Articles Reviewed

The literature reviewed for this research examines the historical evolution of caste, the impact of British colonial rule, and the role of colonial knowledge in institutionalizing caste. The selected works provide important perspectives on the relationship between pre-colonial social organization and colonial administrative practices:

- **James Mill (1817) [1]:** In *The History of British India*, Mill portrayed Indian civilization as socially stagnant, irrational, and dominated by caste despite never visiting India. He divided Indian history into Hindu, Muslim, and British periods and justified British rule as a civilizing mission. His work significantly influenced East India Company administrators and shaped colonial policies relating to education, governance, and social classification. This research examines Mill's writings as a major source of colonial knowledge production.
- **Nicholas B. Dirks (2001) [2]:** Argues in *Castes of Mind* that colonialism did not create caste but transformed it into the central organizing principle of Indian society through census operations, administrative classification, and governance. His work provides the theoretical foundation for understanding the colonial institutionalization of caste.
- **Bernard S. Cohn (1996) [3]:** In *Colonialism and Its Forms of Knowledge*, Cohn demonstrates how surveys, censuses, mapping, and ethnographic studies enabled the British to classify and govern Indian society. He argues that colonial documentation converted diverse social identities into fixed bureaucratic categories.
- **Susan Bayly (1999) [4]:** Contends that although caste existed before British rule, colonial administrative practices strengthened its rigidity and political significance.
- **Ronald Inden (1990) [5]:** Criticizes colonial scholarship for portraying India as an unchanging civilization defined primarily by caste while ignoring its political, economic, and cultural diversity.
- **M. N. Srinivas (1962) [6]:** Challenged the notion of caste as an entirely static institution by introducing concepts such as Sanskritization and Dominant Caste, illustrating the possibility of social mobility within Indian society.
- **B. R. Ambedkar (1916, 1936) [7]:** Through *Castes in India* and *Annihilation of Caste*, provided a critical analysis of caste's historical origins and structural inequalities, offering an important perspective on its systemic development.

Gap in Literature:

Although extensive research has examined the history of caste and the impact of British colonialism on Indian society, significant gaps remain in understanding how colonial rule transformed the varna-jati system into the modern institutionalized caste structure. Most studies focus either on the ancient origins of caste or its contemporary socio-political implications, with comparatively less attention given to the combined influence of colonial knowledge, administrative policies, and legal reforms.

Existing scholarship acknowledges that colonial instruments such as the census, legal codification, and ethnographic surveys contributed to the rigidification of caste. However, these mechanisms are often studied independently rather



than as interconnected components of colonial governance. Similarly, while James Mill's writings are recognized as influential, limited research examines how his interpretations shaped British administrative policies despite being based on second-hand sources.

Another gap lies in the limited comparative analysis between the relatively diverse and regionally flexible varna-jati system and the standardized caste hierarchy institutionalized under British rule. This research addresses these gaps by integrating colonial intellectual history with administrative practices to examine how British governance reshaped caste.

3. OBJECTIVES :

The primary aims and objectives of this research paper are as follows:

- **1.** To examine how British colonial rule transformed India's varna-jati social order into a rigid administrative institution.
- **2.** To analyze the intellectual impact of James Mill's *The History of British India* (1817) on colonial policies and administrative categorization.
- **3.** To evaluate the role of census operations, ethnographic surveys, legal codification, and educational policies in standardizing caste identities across provinces.
- **4.** To compare pre-colonial social flexibility and localized mobility with colonial administrative rigidity through a structured historical comparative framework.
- **5.** To trace the enduring legacy of colonial caste classification on contemporary socio-political dynamics in modern India.

4. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY:

Research Design & Approach

This research adopts a qualitative historical research design to examine the role of British colonial rule in transforming India's diverse and relatively fluid varna-jati social order into the rigid and institutionalized caste system recognized today. The study employs a descriptive and analytical approach following an interpretivist paradigm, recognizing that social institutions such as caste are historically constructed and continually shaped by political, cultural, and administrative processes.

Sources of Data & Data Collection Method

The research is entirely based on secondary sources collected from authentic academic and historical materials, including peer-reviewed journal articles, historical monographs, British colonial records, census reports of British India (1871–1931), legal documents, educational policies, and archival publications. Data were gathered through an extensive review of published literature and historical documents, with primary focus on influential colonial texts, particularly James Mill's *The History of British India* (1817), alongside modern historical and sociological analyses.

Data Analysis Methods

The collected data are analyzed using historical analysis and thematic analysis. Historical analysis is employed to trace the evolution of caste from the pre-colonial period through British colonial rule. Thematic analysis is used to identify and evaluate recurring themes across historical documents, including: (a) Varna and Jati dynamics in pre-colonial India; (b) Colonial knowledge production; (c) James Mill's interpretation of Indian society; (d) Census operations and administrative classification; (e) Legal codification of caste; and (f) Legacy of colonial caste in contemporary India.

Scope, Limitations & Ethical Considerations

- **Scope:** The research primarily focuses on the period of British colonial rule in India (1757–1947), with particular attention to the 19th and early 20th centuries when census operations, legal reforms, and administrative classifications significantly altered caste structures.
- **Limitations:** The study is limited to qualitative historical analysis based on secondary data and does not include empirical field surveys or primary oral interviews. Since historical interpretations remain subject to scholarly debate, the research synthesizes multiple academic perspectives.
- **Ethical Considerations:** The study adheres strictly to academic standards of integrity, relying on credible sources, avoiding selective representation of historical evidence, and properly citing all consulted scholarship.

5. FINDINGS :

The research findings demonstrate that British colonial administration played a pivotal role in converting India's fluid social structures into a standardized and rigid bureaucratic caste hierarchy. The key data patterns, historical chronologies, and scholarly analyses are organized in Tables 1 through 4 below.



Table 1: Comparative Data Analysis — Pre-Colonial India vs. British Colonial India

Indicator	Pre-British India (Before 1757)	British Colonial India (1757–1947)	Comparative Analysis
Social Classification	Primarily based on local jatis, occupations, kingdoms and regional customs. Varna served as an ideological framework.	Census officials classified every individual into fixed caste categories beginning in 1871.	British administration transformed flexible local identities into standardized bureaucratic categories.
Number of Official Castes	No nationwide official count existed.	1871 Census began systematic classification; by 1901 thousands of castes were officially recorded throughout British India.	Caste became a state-recognized legal identity for the first time.
Census Enumeration	No centralized census. Population counted mainly for taxation and revenue by kingdoms.	1871, 1881, 1891, 1901, 1911, 1921 and 1931 censuses classified Indians by caste, tribe, religion and occupation.	Seven nationwide censuses institutionalized caste identities.
Administrative Use	Occupation and local customs were more significant than rigid pan-Indian caste records.	Government jobs, recruitment, policing, taxation and legal administration relied on caste classification.	Caste became a bureaucratic tool of governance.
Legal Recognition	Different kingdoms followed diverse customary laws.	British courts frequently interpreted rights through officially recorded caste identities.	Local diversity was replaced by standardized legal categories.

Table 1.1: James Mill's Influence on Colonial Governance & Perception

Indicators	Before James Mill (Pre-1817)	After James Mill (1817 Onwards)
Official British View	Mixed opinions among Orientalists who often admired Sanskrit literature and Indian institutions.	Mill portrayed Hindu society as backward, irrational and dominated by caste. His work became a standard training text for East India Company officials [1].
Knowledge Source	Administrators often relied on local scholars (pandits and munshis).	Colonial administrators increasingly relied on Mill's interpretation rather than indigenous understandings.
Administrative Philosophy	Limited centralized social classification.	Strong emphasis on categorizing and classifying Indian society through census and ethnography.

Table 2: Timeline of Colonial Caste Institutionalization (1817–1931)

Year	Colonial Event / Policy Milestone	Impact on Caste Institutionalization
1817	James Mill publishes The History of British India [1]	Declared caste the defining, static characteristic of Indian society.
1858	Crown Rule begins following 1857 Rebellion	Triggered administrative centralization and systematic social control.
1871	First All-India Census initiated	First nationwide attempt at systematic caste classification.
1881	Second Decennial All-India Census	Standardized caste recording methodologies across all provinces.
1891	Expansion of Census Scope	Cross-tabulated caste with literacy, occupation, and demographic data.
1901	Census under H. H. Risley	Classified castes hierarchically using racial and anthropometric theories.
1911–1931	Continued Decennial Census Exercises	Deeply embedded caste into administrative governance and state machinery.



Table 2.1: Quantitative Evidence & Scale of Colonial Classification

Quantitative Indicator	Historical Data & Scale of Enumeration
Nationwide Caste Censuses	Before British Rule: 0 nationwide caste censuses. British Rule (1871–1931): 7 national decennial caste censuses.
1891 Census Scale & Scope	Total Population Enumerated: ~287 million individuals. Classification Parameters: Every individual categorized by caste, tribe/race, religion, occupation, literacy, disability, and marital status.
Administrative Expansion	National Caste Register: Created and maintained by colonial census operations. Printed Caste Manuals: Hundreds of administrative and ethnographic manuals produced. Ethnographic Surveys: Nationwide systematic documentation initiated under the state.

Table 3: Summary of Scholarly Arguments on Colonial Caste Formation

Scholar / Historian	Main Theoretical Argument
Nicholas B. Dirks (2001) [2]	Caste existed before colonialism, but British rule made it the single dominant framework for understanding, organizing, and governing Indian society.
Susan Bayly (1999) [4]	Colonial administration standardized, codified, and politicized localized caste identities into pan-Indian categories.
Bernard S. Cohn (1996) [3]	Colonial surveys, censuses, mapping, and ethnography produced new 'official' knowledge that transformed fluid social identities into fixed bureaucratic entities.
Kevin Walby & Michael Haan	The colonial censuses (1871–1921) attempted to fit India's complex, multidimensional social realities into rigid categories influenced by European administrative assumptions.

Table 4: Detailed Case Comparison — Pre-Colonial vs. British Colonial Caste Dynamics

Aspect	Case 1: Pre-Colonial Varna-Jati System	Case 2: British Colonial System
Social Organization	Based on regional varna-jati practices and local customs.	Standardized caste hierarchy enforced for administrative governance.
Nature of Identity	Flexible, contextual, and locally negotiated.	Fixed, hereditary, and officially recorded in census registers.
Occupational Mobility	Relatively adaptable in many regions through trade, military service, and Sanskritization.	Reduced through rigid hereditary classification and administrative assignment.
Administrative Role	Limited state intervention in defining social rank.	Central tool for census enumeration, legal adjudication, recruitment, and policing.
Legal Recognition	Governed largely by diverse customary regional practices.	Codified through formal colonial legal codes and uniform court rulings.
Basis of Governance	Political authority, local patronage, and regional customs.	Bureaucratic categorization, documentation, and imperial enumeration.

6. DISCUSSION:

The findings of this research suggest that British colonial rule fundamentally transformed the functioning of caste in India by institutionalizing it through administrative and legal mechanisms. Although varna and jati existed before colonial rule, they were characterized by considerable regional diversity, occupational flexibility, and locally negotiated identities rather than a single uniform hierarchy. British administrators interpreted this complex social structure through European systems of classification and governance, resulting in a standardized understanding of caste that continues to influence modern India. A significant finding is the role of colonial knowledge production in shaping administrative policy. James Mill's *The History of British India*, despite being written without firsthand experience of India, portrayed Indian society as backward, stagnant, and dominated by caste. His interpretations, together with those of other colonial scholars, influenced British administrators and justified colonial intervention through the ideology of a 'civilizing mission.' The research further demonstrates that colonial policies, particularly the census, legal codification, ethnographic surveys, and educational reforms, transformed fluid social identities into fixed bureaucratic categories. By attempting to classify thousands of jatis within a standardized hierarchy, the colonial state institutionalized caste as an



essential instrument of governance. This process overlooked regional diversity and simplified India's complex social realities for administrative convenience. The findings support the arguments of scholars such as Nicholas B. Dirks , Bernard S. Cohn , Susan Bayly , and Ronald Inden , who contend that colonial governance reshaped caste through classification and knowledge production. However, the evidence also confirms that caste was not created by the British out of nothing; rather, colonial rule significantly altered its structure, rigidity, and administrative importance. Overall, the modern caste system emerged through the interaction between pre-existing social institutions and British colonial governance.

7. LIMITATIONS:

- **Methodological Scope:** The study relies exclusively on qualitative historical analysis and secondary literature, without empirical field surveys, interviews, or primary statistical sampling.
- **Historiographical Constraints:** Interpretation of colonial history remains subject to ongoing debate; while this study synthesizes dominant academic frameworks, regional micro-historical dynamics may present unique variations.
- **Focus Area:** The research specifically targets the structural transformation of caste under colonial administration and does not attempt an exhaustive analysis of all socio-religious reforms during the period.

8. RECOMMENDATIONS:

- **Curricular Integration:** Academic curricula in sociology and history should incorporate critical perspectives on colonial knowledge production to help students understand how administrative instruments influenced modern social perceptions.
- **Archival and Regional Micro-Studies:** Future research should focus on regional archival documentation to explore how localized jatis responded to, negotiated with, or resisted colonial census classifications.
- **Policy Nuance:** Contemporary socio-political and affirmative action policies should recognize both ancient cultural roots and colonial administrative codifications when addressing caste-based social stratification.

9. CONCLUSION:

The present research examined how British colonial rule transformed India's varna-jati social order and contributed to the institutionalization of the modern caste system. While social stratification existed in pre-colonial India, it was characterized by regional diversity, occupational flexibility, and locally negotiated identities. The findings suggest that British colonial administration significantly reshaped these structures through legal codification, census operations, educational reforms, and bureaucratic governance. The study highlights the influence of James Mill and other colonial intellectuals in shaping British perceptions of India. Their writings portrayed Indian society as static and caste-dominated, influencing colonial policies that standardized and institutionalized caste as an administrative category. Census operations and legal documentation converted diverse and localized jatis into fixed hereditary classifications, reinforcing caste identities through official recognition. Drawing on the works of Nicholas B. Dirks , Bernard S. Cohn , Susan Bayly , and Ronald Inden , the research concludes that British colonialism did not create caste but fundamentally transformed its structure, meaning, and administrative significance. The modern caste system emerged through the interaction of pre-existing social institutions and colonial systems of classification and governance. Understanding this transformation provides a more balanced perspective on the historical evolution of caste and its continuing influence on contemporary Indian society.

REFERENCES:

1. Mill, J. (1817). The history of British India. Baldwin, Cradock, and Joy.
2. Dirks, N. B. (2001). Castes of mind: Colonialism and the making of modern India. Princeton University Press.
3. Cohn, B. S. (1996). Colonialism and its forms of knowledge: The British in India. Princeton University Press.
4. Bayly, S. (1999). Caste, society and politics in India from the eighteenth century to the modern age. Cambridge University Press.
5. Inden, R. (1990). Imagining India. Blackwell Publishers.
6. Srinivas, M. N. (1962). Caste in modern India and other essays. Asia Publishing House.
7. Ambedkar, B. R. (1936). Annihilation of caste. Self-published.