

Please save this form with the file name as "IJIRMF-Year-Month-XXX-N-R-S.doc", where "XXX" is the 3-digit Paper ID, "N" is the round of review (i.e., 1st, 2nd, 3rd), and "R" is the 2 -digit Reviewer ID, S is for Subject. For example: "IJIRMF-1234-2nd-Review-07". After completion, please e-mail the form to the Managing Editor.

Thank you very much for your cooperation.

REVIEW FORM

Paper ID: _____

Paper Title: _____

Reviewer Name & ID#: _____ Review Round #: _____

Assigned Editor Name: _____

Date sent: (Please enter the date indicated in the e-mail): _____

Date due: (Please enter the date indicated in the e-mail): _____

SECTION - I

PLEASE EVALUATE THE MANUSCRIPT BASED ON THE FOLLOWING SCALE:

1 = Poor, 2 = Below average, 3 = Average, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent, x = Not applicable

(Please assign the score for each item below. 1 & 2 are example)

| Sr.No | Paper content | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | x |
|-------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Title | | | | 4 | | |
| 2 | Abstract | | | 3 | | | |
| 3 | Introduction | | | | | | |
| 4 | Review of literature | | | | | | |
| 5 | The research methodology for the study is appropriate and applied properly. | | | | | | |
| 6 | The rationale for the paper is well grounded. It is based on a known theory or on an interesting issue. | | | | | | |
| 7 | Discussion of the results is based on analysis of data; results are not overstated or overgeneralized | | | | | | |
| 8 | Implications and recommendations for management are relevant and useful. | | | | | | |
| 9 | This paper is presented in a format which is accessible by practitioners. It focuses on justification, results and implementation; has readable style; technical material is in appendix. | | | | | | |
| 10 | Conclusion | | | | | | |

SECTION – II

| Sr.No | Quality Check | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | x |
|-------|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | contribution to the field | | | | | | |
| 2 | Depth of research | | | | | | |
| 3 | coherence and presentation fact | | | | | | |
| 4 | Graphics (Diagrams, tables, figure, charts) | | | | | | |
| 5 | Appropriate Titling of section headings | | | | | | |
| 6 | Appropriate content sanctioning | | | | | | |
| 7 | The paper has clarity of presentation. It is well organized, clearly written. | | | | | | |
| 8 | The paper is easy to read and free from grammatical or spelling errors. | | | | | | |
| Sr.No | Originality Check (via Google search) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | x |
| 1 | suspected plagiarism in Title | | | | | | |
| 2 | suspected plagiarism Abstract | | | | | | |
| 3 | suspected plagiarism in methodology | | | | | | |
| 4 | suspected plagiarism in result/conclusion | | | | | | |
| 5 | Appropriate acknowledge of other authors and their cited work in references section | | | | | | |
| 6 | Appropriate citing of images, charts, diagrams, figures and tables sources in the article. | | | | | | |
| 7 | Author's transparency in fact presentation | | | | | | |
| 8 | This paper is based on rigorous academic standards. | | | | | | |

❖ Your recommendation? (Please check ✓ any one.)

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|--------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|
| Clear reject | Reconsider after major revisions | Accept with minor revisions | Accept as is |

❖ What is the publication PRIORITY? (Please check ✓ any one.)

1. Publish immediately -
2. Give high priority -
3. Give medium priority -
4. No rush -

❖ Does this paper need a professional editing assistance? ___ Yes ___ No

❖ Does this paper contain graphics of which the resolution needs to be improved? ___ Yes ___ No

❖ Does this paper cite any related work published in this journal? ___ Yes ___ No

❖ Comments for the author: (Please present specific details using the following categories.)

SECTION – III

REVIEWERS REMARKS / SUMMARY:

STRENGTHES:

MINOR WEAKNESSES AND SUGGESTIONS: